

Dole bill passes, and I hope it will—I think the amendment of the Democratic majority leader will fail—I hope we go forward with this not in a spirit of, “Well, the Republicans have won” and cheer.

I want to close with what I said at the start. There is no guarantee that if we pass this bill, as the Republicans are talking about, there is no guarantee we will solve the problem. There is a guarantee that if we continue as we have been going, we will not solve the problem. We have not solved the problem and there is no hope we will solve the problem continuing on the line of Federal regulation and control as we have gone.

My guess is that many States will experiment with this and will find their experiments fail. Many others will experiment with it in a different fashion and find they succeed. And then some of the successes will be taken to other States and found it does not work in that State yet does work in other States. The States are going to become labs over the next 5 years and, by and large, most of them are going to hit upon what will work in their State with the limited amount of money that we give them, and they will be much quicker to jettison programs that do not work than we are.

The last thing we have put in this bill—and I see the Senator from Missouri is in the chair and it was his suggestion—we have put in this bill, to the extent that it is constitutional, that it is permissible for this money to be given to religious organizations to carry out social welfare purposes.

There is nothing wrong with that. Just because Catholic Charities is Catholic should not mean that it is incapable of administering to the poor. Just because the Salvation Army may have a cross on the wall does not mean that it cannot run a good sheltered workshop. It will run a better sheltered workshop than anything the Government might run.

As I say, we cannot by law make something constitutional that is unconstitutional. I know the fear and the argument: Not only are they going to minister to the needs of the poor, they are going to try to proselytize them, make them Catholics or make them whatever.

Mr. President, I think that risk is worth it. I think the risk is worth it. If a person goes to a Salvation Army sheltered workshop or a meals program run by a charity that happens to have a menorah in the hallway, I am not sure that is going to be so offensive to what we are trying to achieve that it should be prohibited. I will leave it to the courts—and there will be suits—to decide whether or not it is constitutional.

I will say this to my good friend from New York, he and I now almost 20 years ago, not quite, introduced bills to allow tuition tax credits. In the interim, Wisconsin has tried it and now I see the courts have declared it par-

tially unconstitutional. But it is working. These inner-city kids are getting a good education. We simply wanted to say to the parents—by and large, it liberates the poor. It does not liberate the rich. They are going to private schools anyway and they are going to parochial schools. It was a modest credit.

We say a parent can put their child in a religious school and they can deduct part of their cost off of their income tax. For 18 years he and I have tried to get that. We have been unsuccessful so far.

Every now and then, he will send me a clipping when another inner-city Catholic school has closed or perhaps the whole diocese has closed, I do not know, and say, “They didn’t listen to us, they didn’t listen to us.”

It was touching when we had hearings on this to have some of the poorest women come and testify. These were single mothers working for the Federal Government, often in relatively modest positions, making in those days, the late seventies, \$15,000, \$16,000 a year, putting their children in private school, paying for it themselves, religious schools, not even of their religion because they wanted an alternative to public school.

This bill is going to try to permit all of that, not because we want to intrude religion on people, but because we do not want to preclude religion having the opportunity to serve people.

Mr. President, over the next 4 or 5 days, we will debate the philosophy of this bill. I suppose we will debate lots of itsy-bitsy details. But the philosophy is infinitely more important than itsy-bitsy details.

This bill, if adopted, is a watershed, is a turning point from the concept that the Federal Government is be all and know all. I hope we are daring enough to take the step. I do not promise it will work, but I do promise that with what we are trying now, we will continue to fail.

I thank the Chair and suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. DOLE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. DOLE. Since there are no other Senators seeking recognition on welfare reform, was leader’s time reserved?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Yes, it was.

SALUTE TO SENATOR PELL

Mr. DOLE. Mr. President, nearly 35 years ago, the voters of Rhode Island decided to send CLAIBORNE PELL to the U.S. Senate. And in the years that followed, they have made the same decision in five separate elections.

Yesterday, Senator PELL announced that this term will be his final one in the Senate.

While there are still 16 months left in Senator PELL’s term, I did want to take a minute to pay tribute to this dedicated public servant.

As all of my colleagues know, Senator PELL has devoted his years in the Senate to many issues of great importance: To foreign relations, where he has served as chairman and ranking member of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee; to bettering the environment; and, of course, to education, where Pell grants to college students have become a household word. I listened to the Senator from New York comment on that yesterday.

Mr. President, the State motto of Rhode Island is just one word—the word “Hope.”

And from serving in the Coast Guard during World War II, to representing our country in the Foreign Service for 7 years, to serving here in the Senate for three and a half decades, CLAIBORNE PELL has never given up hope on America.

I join with all Senators in wishing Senator PELL all the best as he writes the final chapters in a very distinguished Senate career.

TRIBUTE TO CAL RIPKEN

Mr. DOLE. Mr. President, my mother had a phrase she used to repeat. “Can’t never could do anything,” she told us. I have tried to live by those words throughout my life, and I want to pay tribute today to someone else who doesn’t know how to say “can’t.”

For over half a century, baseball experts have said that one record that could never be broken was the great Lou Gehrig’s record of playing in 2,130 consecutive games.

As all baseball fans know, that record was tied last night, and will be broken tonight by Baltimore Orioles shortstop Cal Ripken, Jr.

In every game played by the Orioles since May 30, 1982, Cal Ripken has taken the field and done his job with dedication and with excellence.

No doubt about it, as a baseball player, Cal Ripken is a superstar. But more importantly, he is also a superstar as a human being, a husband, a father, and a role model.

Make no mistake about it, like most professional athletes, Cal Ripken is very well paid. But you cannot watch him play without thinking that he would still be out there, trying as hard as he can, if he was not paid at all.

And Cal’s commitment to baseball does not end on the field. As a goodwill ambassador for a game that desperately needs one, he freely gives his time to countless charities, and throughout this season, Cal has stayed in the stadium for hours after games, signing autographs for every fan who wanted one.

I know that all Members of the Senate join with me in tipping our hats to